

Symmetry Classifications of Topological Systems

This notes are based on a combination of J.K. Asbóth, L. Oroszlány, A. Pályi. A Short Course on Topological Insulators "http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.02295" (2015), J.J. Sakurai. *Modern Quantum Mechanics*, (1994), B.A. Bernevig, Topological Insulators and Topological Superconductors, Princeton University Press, (2013) and C-K. Chiu, J.C.Y. Teo, A.P. Schnyder and S. Ryu, Rev. Mod. Phys, 88, (2016).

Contents

- Time Reversal Symmetry
- Kramers Degeneracy
- Vanishing of Hall Conductance for T Invariant Half-Integer Spin Systems
- Charge Conjugation Symmetry
- Chiral Symmetry
- Symmetry Classes and the Ten Fold Way

Time Reversal Symmetry

We define a time reversal operator which acts as

$$|n\rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{T}|n\rangle$$

Where $\mathcal{T}|n\rangle$ is the time reversed state.

For example: if $|n\rangle = |\mathbf{k}\rangle$ we expect $\mathcal{T}|\mathbf{k}\rangle = |-\mathbf{k}\rangle$; if $|n\rangle = |\mathbf{x}\rangle$ we expect $\mathcal{T}|\mathbf{x}\rangle = |\mathbf{x}\rangle$.

We also say a Hamiltonian H has time reversal symmetry if $\mathcal{T}H\mathcal{T}^{-1} = H$.

How do we define this in a rigorous way?

Time Reversal Symmetry

The infinitesimal time evolution of a state $|n\rangle$ is generated by the Hamiltonian, and is given by

$$|n, t_0 = 0; t = \delta t\rangle = \left(1 - \frac{iH}{\hbar}\delta t\right)|n\rangle$$

If we consider applying the several operator at $t = 0$, then time evolving the state, then at $t = \delta t$ we have $\left(1 - \frac{iH}{\hbar}\delta t\right)\mathcal{T}|n\rangle$. Intuitively we expect that this should equal $\mathcal{T}|n, t_0 = 0; t = -\delta t\rangle$. Together this gives

$$\left(1 - \frac{iH}{\hbar}\delta t\right)\mathcal{T}|n\rangle = \mathcal{T}\left(1 - \frac{iH}{\hbar}(-\delta t)\right)|n\rangle$$

If this is to be true for any state $|n\rangle$ we find

$$-iH\mathcal{T}|n\rangle = \mathcal{T}iH|n\rangle$$

Time Reversal Symmetry

So we found:

$$-iHT|n\rangle = \mathcal{T}iH|n\rangle$$

If \mathcal{T} was a unitary operator then we may directly cancel the i 's and find $-HT = \mathcal{T}H$.

This does not work: as it implies

$$HT|n\rangle = -\mathcal{T}H|n\rangle = -\epsilon_n\mathcal{T}|n\rangle$$

Therefore we find that the time reversal operator must be anti unitary ($\mathcal{T}c|n\rangle = c^*\mathcal{T}|n\rangle$, where $c \in \mathbb{C}$), this means

$$\mathcal{T}H = HT$$

Time Reversal Symmetry

What is the effect of time reversal on the wave function?

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}|n\rangle &= \int d^3x' \mathcal{T}(|x'\rangle\langle x'|n\rangle) & \mathcal{T}|n\rangle &= \int d^3k' \mathcal{T}(|k'\rangle\langle k'|n\rangle) \\ &= \int d^3x' \mathcal{T}|x'\rangle\langle x'|n\rangle^* & &= \int d^3k' \mathcal{T}|k'\rangle\langle k'|n\rangle^* \\ &= \int d^3x' |x'\rangle\langle x'|n\rangle^* & &= \int d^3k' | -k'\rangle\langle k'|n\rangle^* \\ & & &= \int d^3k' |k'\rangle\langle -k'|n\rangle^*\end{aligned}$$

So this shows that $\mathcal{T}\psi(x') \rightarrow \psi(x')^*$ and $\mathcal{T}\psi(p') \rightarrow \psi(-p')^*$.

The representation we choose crucially matters! We also see that two successive applications gives $\mathcal{T}^2 = 1$.

We will now show that this story differs for spin-full particles.

Time Reversal Symmetry

If time reversal gives $\mathcal{T}S\mathcal{T}^{-1} = -S$, then TRS rotates the spin by π . Choosing the axis of rotation to be about the y-axis we find

$$\mathcal{T} = \eta e^{-i\pi J_y/\hbar} K$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T} \sum |j, m\rangle \langle j, m|n\rangle) &= \mathcal{T}(\eta \sum e^{-i\pi J_y/\hbar} |j, m\rangle \langle j, m|n\rangle^*) \\ &= |\eta|^2 \sum e^{-2i\pi J_y/\hbar} |j, m\rangle \langle j, m|n\rangle\end{aligned}$$

Using the properties of the angular momentum eigenstates under rotation we note $e^{-2i\pi J_y/\hbar} |j, m\rangle = (-1)^{2j} |j, m\rangle$, therefore we find

$$\mathcal{T}^2 |j \text{ half-integer}\rangle = - |j \text{ half-integer}\rangle$$

$$\mathcal{T}^2 |j \text{ integer}\rangle = + |j \text{ integer}\rangle$$

Kramers Degeneracy

If we consider a system of charged particles in a static electric field, with $V(\mathbf{x}) = e\phi(\mathbf{x})$ then $[\mathcal{T}, H] = 0$ will still hold as the electrostatic potential is a real function of the time-reversal operator \mathbf{x} .

We consider a state $|n\rangle$ and its time reversed partner $\mathcal{T}|n\rangle$, these must have the same energy - following $H\mathcal{T}|n\rangle = \mathcal{T}H|n\rangle = \epsilon_n\mathcal{T}|n\rangle$.

So are these the same state or different states?

Kramers Degeneracy

The states $|n\rangle$ and $\mathcal{T}|n\rangle$ can only differ by a phase η with $\eta = e^{i\delta}$.

$$\mathcal{T}|n\rangle = e^{i\delta}|n\rangle$$

Now applying \mathcal{T} once more

$$\mathcal{T}^2|n\rangle = \mathcal{T}e^{i\delta}|n\rangle = e^{-i\delta}\mathcal{T}|n\rangle = e^{-i\delta}e^{i\delta}|n\rangle = +|n\rangle$$

Which cannot hold for spin one-half particle. So we must conclude that these are different degenerate states.

This holds as long as the system obeys time-reversal symmetry!

Time Reversal Symmetry of Bulk Hamiltonian

What happens to systems which in addition to TRS there exists also a translational symmetry?

Earlier we noted that $\mathcal{T}\psi(p') \rightarrow \psi(-p')^*$. It is also useful to realise we can split the time reversal operator into two parts $\mathcal{T} = \tau\mathcal{K}$ where \mathcal{K} is an operator which complex conjugates and τ is a unitary operator which acts on internal degrees of freedom.

$$\mathcal{T}H_{\text{Bulk}}\mathcal{T}^{-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |-\mathbf{k}\rangle\langle-\mathbf{k}| \otimes \mathcal{T}H(\mathbf{k})\mathcal{T}^{-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |\mathbf{k}\rangle\langle\mathbf{k}| \otimes \tau H^*(-\mathbf{k})\tau^{-1}$$

So $\tau H^*(-\mathbf{k})\tau^{-1} = H(\mathbf{k})$ in the TRS bulk, and therefore the Hamiltonian must be symmetric to inversion in the Brillouin zone (i.e. $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow -\mathbf{k}$).

Time Reversal Symmetry of Bulk Hamiltonian

We can take an eigenstate of $H(\mathbf{k})$ as

$$H(\mathbf{k})|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle = E(\mathbf{k})|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle .$$

Using time reversal symmetry we obtain,

$$\tau H^*(-\mathbf{k})\tau^{-1}|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle = E(\mathbf{k})|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle$$

then, multiplying from left by τ^{-1} and taking the complex conjugate we find

$$H(-\mathbf{k})\tau^T|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle^* = E(\mathbf{k})\tau^T|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle^* .$$

So for every eigenstate $|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle$ of $H(\mathbf{k})$ there is a time-reversed partner eigenstate of $H(-\mathbf{k})$ at the same energy at $\tau^T|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle^*$. This implies inversion symmetry of the energies, $E(\mathbf{k}) = E(-\mathbf{k})$. Note, however, that $E(\mathbf{k}) = E(-\mathbf{k})$ is not enough to guarantee time-reversal symmetry.

Vanishing of Hall Conductance for T Invariant Half-Integer Spin Systems

As we have noted in previous lectures, $\sigma_{xy} = \sigma_{yx} = 0$ for all systems with time reversal symmetry. Taking a rather brute force approach we consider the Berry Curvature $F(k_x, k_y)$ of a two occupied Bloch bands $|u_n(\mathbf{k})\rangle$ with $n = I, II$, we find

$$F(k_x, k_y) = (-i\langle \partial_{k_x} u_I(\mathbf{k}) | \partial_{k_y} u_I(\mathbf{k}) \rangle - x \leftrightarrow y) + I \leftrightarrow II .$$

After algebra we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_{-k_x} u_I(-\mathbf{k}) | \partial_{-k_y} u_I(-\mathbf{k}) \rangle - x \leftrightarrow y &= - \langle \partial_{k_x} u_{II}(\mathbf{k}) | \partial_{k_y} u_{II}(\mathbf{k}) \rangle - x \leftrightarrow y . \\ \langle \partial_{-k_x} u_{II}(-\mathbf{k}) | \partial_{-k_y} u_{II}(-\mathbf{k}) \rangle - x \leftrightarrow y &= - \langle \partial_{k_x} u_I(\mathbf{k}) | \partial_{k_y} u_I(\mathbf{k}) \rangle - x \leftrightarrow y . \end{aligned}$$

Which implies $F(k_x, k_y) = -F(-k_x, -k_y)$, therefore this will always vanish upon integration over the whole Brillouin zone.

Charge Conjugation Symmetry

Charge conjugation or particle-hole symmetry is a unitary transformation that mixes electrons and holes. Indeed, in particle number conserving systems it acts to flip the sign of charge carriers.

We can demand the anticommutation relation $\{c_{i,\sigma}, c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger\} = \delta_{ij}$ is invariant. Here we see the particle-hole symmetry explicitly with

$$\mathcal{C}c_{i,\sigma}\mathcal{C}^{-1} = c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger \text{ and } \mathcal{C}c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger\mathcal{C}^{-1} = c_{i,\sigma}.$$

Considering its action on a single-particle Hamiltonian we find

$$\mathcal{C}^{-1}H\mathcal{C} = -H$$

and therefore is not a unitary symmetry.

In a similar way to TRS we can find $\mathcal{C}^2 = \pm 1$ with -1 solution for half-integer states and $+1$ for integer states.

Charge Conjugation Symmetry

We can take an eigenstate of the single particle bulk Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{k})$ as

$$H(\mathbf{k})|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle = E(\mathbf{k})|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle .$$

Using charge conjugation symmetry $\mathcal{C} = \mu\mathcal{K}$ we obtain,

$$-\mu H^*(-\mathbf{k})\mu^{-1}|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle = E(\mathbf{k})|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle$$

then, multiplying from left by μ^{-1} and taking the complex conjugate we find

$$H(-\mathbf{k})\mu^T|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle^* = -E(\mathbf{k})\mu^T|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle^* .$$

So for every eigenstate $|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle$ of $H(\mathbf{k})$ there is a charge-conjugated partner eigenstate of $H(\mathbf{k})$ at the opposite energy $-E(\mathbf{k})$ at $\mu^T|u(\mathbf{k})\rangle^*$.

Chiral Symmetry

The combination of \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{C} gives rise to a third symmetry, chiral symmetry. Indeed, we can imagine a situation in which both \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{C} are absent but their combination is satisfied. So Chiral symmetry is given by

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{C}$$

And for single particle Hamiltonians satisfies

$$\mathcal{S}^{-1}H\mathcal{S} = -H$$

As this is constructed of two anti unitary operators we find $\nu\nu^{-1} = 1$, i.e. only one solution.

Symmetry Classes and the Ten Fold Way

We now want a general classification of single particle Hamiltonians in terms of these symmetries.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{T}^{-1}H\mathcal{T} = H & \mathcal{T} = \tau\mathcal{K} & \tau\tau^* = \pm\mathbb{1} \\ \mathcal{C}^{-1}H\mathcal{C} = -H & \mathcal{C} = \mu\mathcal{K} & \mu\mu^* = \pm\mathbb{1} \\ \mathcal{S}^{-1}H\mathcal{S} = -H & \mathcal{S} = \nu & \nu^2 = \mathbb{1} \end{array}$$

Note that unitary symmetries, which commute with the Hamiltonian, allow us to bring the Hamiltonian into a block diagonal form. Here our aim is to classify the symmetry properties of these irreducible blocks, which do not exhibit any unitary symmetries.

Symmetry Classes and the Ten Fold Way

Class	δ										
	T	C	S	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
A	0	0	0	\mathbb{Z}	0	\mathbb{Z}	0	\mathbb{Z}	0	\mathbb{Z}	0
AIII	0	0	1	0	\mathbb{Z}	0	\mathbb{Z}	0	\mathbb{Z}	0	\mathbb{Z}
AI	+	0	0	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2
BDI	+	+	1	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	0	\mathbb{Z}_2
D	0	+	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	0
DIII	-	+	1	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	0	$2\mathbb{Z}$
AII	-	0	0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	0
CII	-	-	1	0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}	0	0
C	0	-	0	0	0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}	0
CI	+	-	1	0	0	0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}

Symmetry Classes and the Ten Fold Way

An Example 1: The SSH model from lecture 1

$$H(k) = \mathbf{R}(k) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} ; \quad \mathbf{R}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} t - t' \cos k \\ -t' \sin k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We find Hamiltonian has only chiral symmetry given by $\sigma_z H(k) \sigma_z = -H(k)$, and therefore is belongs to class AIII. Indeed, as we already knew, in one dimension it's topological phases are governed by a winding number \mathbb{Z} .

Symmetry Classes and the Ten Fold Way

An Example 2: The QWZ model on a square lattice from lecture 4

$$H(k) = \mathbf{R}(k) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} ; \quad \mathbf{R}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin k_x & \\ & \sin k_y \\ u + \cos k_x + \cos k_y & \end{pmatrix}$$

If σ refers to real spin then $R(-\mathbf{k}) = -R(\mathbf{k})$ for TRS to hold, therefore $R_z(\mathbf{k})$ being even breaks TRS. Now for σ being some isospin, $R_x(\mathbf{k})$ and $R_z(\mathbf{k})$ must be even in \mathbf{k} and $R_y(\mathbf{k})$ odd in \mathbf{k} .

Therefore this is Class A, and as we already knew, in two dimension it's topological phases are governed by a winding number \mathbb{Z} .

Symmetry Classes and the Ten Fold Way

An Example 3: The B phase of superfluid ^3He

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) H(\mathbf{k}) \Psi(\mathbf{k})$$

where

$$H(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi(\mathbf{k}) & \Delta(\mathbf{k}) \\ \Delta^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) & -\xi(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) = (\psi_\uparrow^\dagger, \psi_\downarrow^\dagger, \psi_\uparrow, \psi_\downarrow) \quad \xi(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}^2/2m - \mu \quad \Delta(\mathbf{k}) = \Delta_0 i \sigma_2 \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$$

This BdG Hamiltonian satisfies $\tau_1 H^T(\mathbf{k}) \tau_1 = -H(\mathbf{k})$ and $\sigma_2 H^*(-\mathbf{k}) \sigma_2$. (note all BdG Hamiltonian have particle-hole symmetry by construction)

Therefore this is Class DIII, and as we already knew, in three dimension its has topological nontrivial phases and is governed by a winding number \mathbb{Z} . ($\nu_3 = (1/2)(\text{sgn}[\mu] + 1)$)